arXiv:0901.2524v4 [math.CA] 31 May 2009

INTEGRABLE FRACTIONAL MEAN FUNCTIONS ON SPACES OF HOMOGENEOUS TYPE.

JUSTIN FEUTO, IBRAHIM FOFANA, AND KONIN KOUA

ABSTRACT. The class of Banach spaces $(L^q, L^p)^\alpha (X, d, \mu)$, $1 \leq q \leq \alpha \leq p \leq \infty$, introduced in [10] in connection with the study of the continuity of the fractional maximal operator of Hardy-Littlewood and of the Fourier transformation in the case $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ and μ is the Lebesgue measure, was generalized in [7] to the setting of homogeneous groups. We generalize it here to spaces of homogeneous type and we prove that the results obtained in [7] such as relations between these spaces and Lebesgue spaces, weak Lebesgue and Morrey spaces, remain true.

RÉSUMÉ. La classe $(L^q, L^p)^\alpha (X, d, \mu)$, $1 \leq q \leq \alpha \leq p \leq \infty$, introduite dans [10] en liaison avec l'étude de la continuité de l'opérateur maximal fractionnaire de Hardy-Littlewood et de la transformation de Fourier dans le cas où $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ et μ la mesure de Lebesgue, a été généralisée dans [7] au cas où X est un groupe homogène. Nous le généralisons ici aux espaces de type homogène et nous montrons que la plupart des résultats obtenus dans [7], tels que les liens entre ces espaces et les espaces de Lebesgue, de Lebesgue faible et de Morrey, demeurent valides.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [23], Muckenhoupt raised the problem of characterizing weight functions u and v for which the inequality

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left|\widehat{f}(x)\right|^p u(x)dx \leq C \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(x)|^p \, v(x)dx \tag{1}$$

holds for every f in the Lebesgue space $L^p(\mathbb{R})$.

Aguilera and Harboure showed in [1] that, in the case $v = 1$ and $1 < p < 2$, a necessary condition for (1) is

$$\left[\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=+\infty} \left(\int_{rk}^{r(k+1)} u(x)\right)^b\right]^{\frac{1}{b}} \leq Cr^{p-1}, \quad r > 0 \tag{2}$$

where $b = \frac{2}{2-p}$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 43A15; 43A85.

Key words and phrases. Amalgam spaces, space of homogeneous type.

Let us assume that n is a positive integer and $1 \leq q \leq \alpha \leq p \leq \infty$. For any Lebesgue-measurable function f on $\mathbb{R}^n$, we set

$$\left\| f \right\|_{q,p,\alpha} = \begin{cases} \sup\limits_{r>0} r^{n\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{q}\right)} \left[\sum\limits_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \left(\left\| f\chi_{I_k^r} \right\|_q \right)^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} & \text{if} \quad p<\infty \\ \sup\limits_{r>0} r^{n\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{q}\right)} \sup\limits_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \left\| f\chi_{I_k^r} \right\|_q & \text{if} \quad p=\infty \end{cases}. \tag{3}$$

Here $I_k^r = \prod\limits_{j=1}^{n} [k_j r \ , \ (k_j+1)\, r)$, $k = (k_1, \ldots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $r > 0$ and $\left\| \cdot \right\|_q$ denotes the usual norm on the Lebesgue space $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We denote by $L_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the complex vector space of equivalent classes (modulo equality Lebesgue almost everywhere) of Lebesgue measurable complex-valued functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$. It is clear that $\left\| \cdot \right\|_{q,p,\alpha}$ may be looked at as a map of $L_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $[0, \infty]$. We define

$$\left(L^q, L^p \right)^{\alpha} (\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ f \in L_0(\mathbb{R}^n) / \left\| f \right\|_{q,p,\alpha} < \infty \right\}. \tag{4}$$

Fofana has proved in [10] that $(\left(L^q, L^p \right)^{\alpha} (\mathbb{R}^n), \left\| \cdot \right\|_{q,p,\alpha})$ is a complex Banach space and that the Lebesgue spaces $L^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the Morrey spaces $M_q^{n\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the Lorenz spaces $L^{\alpha,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (in the case $q < \alpha < p$) are its sub-spaces.

Note that condition (2) can be written as $u \in \left(L^1, L^b \right)^{\frac{1}{2-p}}$, with $b = \frac{2}{2-p}$.

Further results on Fourier transform may be expressed in the setting of $(L^q, L^p)^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and related spaces of Radon measures (see [11], [20]). These spaces are also related to $L^q - L^p$ multiplier problems (see [19], [25]) and well-suited to establish norm inequalities for fractional maximal functions [12].

It is clear that $(L^q, \ell^p)^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a subspace of the so-called amalgam space of Wiener $(L^q, \ell^p)(\mathbb{R}^n)$, defined by

$$(L^q, \ell^p)^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ f \in L_0(\mathbb{R}^n) : \ {}_1\left\| f \right\|_{q,p} < \infty \right\} \tag{5}$$

where for $r > 0$

$${}_r\left\| f \right\|_{q,p} = \begin{cases} \left[\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \left(\left\| f\chi_{I_k^r} \right\|_q \right)^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} & \text{if} \quad p<\infty \\ \sup\limits_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \left\| f\chi_{I_k^r} \right\|_q & \text{if} \quad p=\infty \end{cases}. \tag{6}$$

These amalgam spaces have been used by Wiener (see [31]) in connection with Tauberian theorems. Long after, Holland undertook their systematic study (see [18]). Since then, they have been extensively studied (see the survey paper [15] and the references therein) and generalized to locally compact groups (see [7], [3], [2]). They may be looked at as spaces of functions that behave locally as elements of $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and globally as belonging to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Taking this into account, Feichtinger has introduced Banach spaces whose elements belong locally to some Banach space, and globally to another (see [6]).

Replacing $\mathbb{R}^n$ by a homogeneous group G, the authors have defined and studied $(L^q, L^p)^\alpha(G)$ spaces (see [7]). They proved that results obtained in [10] remain valid for $(L^q, L^p)^\alpha(G)$.

In the present paper, we extend the definition of these spaces to spaces of homogeneous type. In this setting, we obtain interesting links between $(L^q, L^p)^\alpha(X)$ and classical Banach function spaces.

These spaces are well suited for studying norm inequalities on fractional maximal operators. Actually, in [8] we established some continuity properties for these operators from $(L^q, L^p)^\alpha(X)$ to weak-Lebesgue spaces, which extended in this context analogous results known in the Euclidean case (see [12], [24]).

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains definitions and the main results, whose proofs are given in Section 4. Section 3 is devoted to auxiliary results.

Throughout the paper, C denotes positive constants that are independent of the main parameters involved, with values which may differ from line to line. Constants with subscripts, such as C_1, do not change in different occurrences.

2. Definitions-Results

A space of homogeneous type (X, d, μ) is a quasi metric space (X, d) endowed with a non negative Borel measure μ satisfying the doubling condition

$$0 < \mu\left(B_{(x,2r)}\right) \leq C\mu\left(B_{(x,r)}\right) < \infty, \ x \in X \text{ and } r > 0, \tag{7}$$

where $B_{(x,r)} = \{y \in X : d(x,y) < r\}$ is the ball with center x and radius $r > 0$. If C'_μ is the smallest constant C for which (7) holds, then $D_\mu = \log_2 C'_\mu$ is called the doubling order of μ. It is known (see [27]) that for all balls $B_2 \subset B_1$

$$\frac{\mu(B_1)}{\mu(B_2)} \leq C_\mu \left(\frac{r(B_1)}{r(B_2)}\right)^{D_\mu}, \tag{8}$$

where $r(B)$ denote the radius of the ball B and $C_\mu = C'_\mu(2\kappa)^{D_\mu}$, $\kappa \geq 1$ being a constant such that

$$d(x,y) \leq \kappa\left(d(x,z) + d(z,y)\right), \quad x, y, z \in X. \tag{9}$$

Two quasi metrics d and δ on X are said to be equivalent if there exists constants $C_1 > 0$ and $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$C_1 d(x,y) \leq \delta(x,y) \leq C_2 d(x,y), \quad x, y \in X.$$

Observe that topologies defined by equivalent quasi metrics on X are equivalent. It is shown in [21] that on any space of homogeneous type (X, d, μ), there is a quasi metric δ equivalent to d for which balls are open sets.

In the sequel we assume that $X = (X, d, \mu)$ is a fixed space of homogeneous type and:

- all balls $B_{(x,r)} = \{y \in X : d(x,y) < r\}$ are open subsets of X endowed with the d-topology,
- (X, d) is separable,

- $\mu(X) = \infty$,
- $B_{(x,R)} \setminus B_{(x,r)} \neq \emptyset,\ 0 < r < R < \infty$ and $x \in X$.

As proved in [30], the last assumption implies that there exists two constants $\tilde{C}_\mu > 0$ and $\delta_\mu > 0$ such that for all balls $B_2 \subset B_1$ of X

$$\frac{\mu(B_1)}{\mu(B_2)} \geq \tilde{C}_\mu \left(\frac{r(B_1)}{r(B_2)}\right)^{\delta_\mu}. \tag{10}$$

For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $\|\cdot\|_p$ denotes the usual norm on the Lebesgue space $L^p(X)$.

For any μ-measurable function f on X, we set:

- $\lambda_f(\alpha) = \mu\left(\{x \in X : |f(x)| > \alpha\}\right),\ \alpha > 0$,
- $f_*(t) = \inf\left\{\alpha > 0 : \lambda_f(\alpha) \leq t\right\},\ t > 0$,
- $f^*(t) = \frac{1}{t}\int_0^t f_*(u)du,\ t > 0$,
- $\|f\|_{p,q} = \begin{cases} \left[\frac{p}{q}\int_0^\infty \left(t^{\frac{1}{p}} f^*(t)\right)^q \frac{dt}{t}\right]^{\frac{1}{q}} & \text{if} \quad 1 \leq p,q < \infty \\ \sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{1}{p}} f^*(t) & \text{if} \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty \text{ and } q = \infty \end{cases}.$

Let $L_0(X)$ be the complex vector space of equivalent classes (modulo equality $\mu-$almost everywhere) of $\mu-$measurable complex-valued functions on X. Then $\|\cdot\|_{p,q}$ is a map from $L_0(X)$ into $[0,\infty]$. It is known (see [28]) that:

- for $1 < p,q \leq \infty$, the space $L^{p,q}(X) = \left\{f \in L_0(X) : \|f\|_{p,q} < \infty\right\}$ endowed with $f \mapsto \|f\|_{p,q}$, is a complex Banach space (called Lorentz space),
- $f \mapsto \|f\|^*_{p,q} = \begin{cases} \left[\frac{p}{q}\int_0^\infty \left(t^{\frac{1}{p}} f_*(t)\right)^q \frac{dt}{t}\right]^{\frac{1}{q}} & \text{if} \quad 1 \leq p,q < \infty \\ \sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{1}{p}} f_*(t) & \text{if} \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty \text{ and } q = \infty \end{cases}$

is a quasi-norm on $L^{p,q}(X)$ equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{p,q}$,

- $\sup\limits_{t>0} t^{\frac{1}{p}} f_*(t) = \sup\limits_{\alpha>0} \alpha\lambda_f(\alpha)^{\frac{1}{p}}$.

In the sequel we assume that $1 \leq q \leq \alpha \leq p \leq \infty$.

Notation 2.1. *For any μ-measurable function f on X and any $r > 0$, we put*

$$_r\|f\|_{q,p,\alpha} = \begin{cases} \left[\int_X \left(\mu(B_{(y,r)})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \left\|f\chi_{B_{(y,r)}}\right\|_q\right)^p d\mu(y)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} & \text{if} \quad p < \infty \\ \sup\limits_{y\in X} \mu(B_{(y,r)})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{q}} \left\|f\chi_{B_{(y,r)}}\right\|_q & \text{if} \quad p = \infty \end{cases}, \tag{11}$$

where $\chi_{B_{(y,r)}}$ denotes the characteristic function of $B_{(y,r)}$, and we use the convention $\frac{1}{q} = 0$ if $q = \infty$.

Theorem 2.2. *For any μ-measurable function f on X and $r > 0$, we have $_r\|f\|_{q,p,\alpha} = 0$ if and only if $f = 0$ μ-almost everywhere.*

By the previous result we may (and shall) look at $_r\|\cdot\|_{q,p,\alpha}$ as a map from $L_0(X)$ into $[0,\infty]$.

Notation 2.3. *For $r > 0$, we define*

$$(L^q, L^p)_r^\alpha (X) = \left\{ f \in L_0(X) : \ {}_r\left\| f \right\|_{q,p,\alpha} < \infty \right\}.$$

Theorem 2.4. *For any positive real number r, $\left((L^q, L^p)_r^\alpha (X), \ {}_r\left\| \cdot \right\|_{q,p,\alpha} \right)$ is a complex Banach space.*

As in the Euclidean case we have the following results.

Theorem 2.5. *Let r be a positive real number, $1 \leq q_1 < q_2 \leq \alpha$ and $\alpha \leq p_1 < p_2 < \infty$. Then*

$$ {}_r\left\| \cdot \right\|_{q_1,p,\alpha} \leq \ {}_r\left\| \cdot \right\|_{q_2,p,\alpha} \tag{12}$$

and

$$ {}_r\left\| \cdot \right\|_{q,\infty,\alpha} \leq C \ {}_r\left\| \cdot \right\|_{q,p_2,\alpha} \leq C' \ {}_r\left\| \cdot \right\|_{q,p_1,\alpha}, \tag{13}$$

where $C > 0$ and $C' > 0$ are constants independent of r.

Theorem 2.6. *There is a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$ {}_r\left\| \cdot \right\|_{q,p,\alpha} \leq C \ {}_r\left\| \cdot \right\|_{\alpha} \tag{14}$$

for any real number $r > 0$.

$(L^q, L^p)_r^\alpha(X)$ is actually a generalization of the Wiener amalgam space $(L^q, \ell^p)(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This appears clearly when we compare ${}_r\left\| \cdot \right\|_{q,p}$ as define in (6), to the norm $\left\| \cdot \right\|_{q,p,\alpha}^{d_{m_r}}$ which is equivalent to ${}_r\left\| \cdot \right\|_{q,p,\alpha}$ (see Proposition 4.1). Now we define a subspace of $(L^q, L^p)_r^\alpha(X)$ which generalizes $(L^q, \ell^p)^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Definition 2.7. *We set*

$$(L^q, L^p)^\alpha(X) = \left\{ f \in L_0(X) : \left\| f \right\|_{q,p,\alpha} < \infty \right\},$$

where $\left\| f \right\|_{q,p,\alpha} = \sup_{r>0} \ {}_r\left\| f \right\|_{q,p,\alpha}$.

From Definition 2.7, Theorem 2.4, Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 2.5 the following results are straightforward.

Theorem 2.8. *a) $\left((L^q, L^p)^\alpha(X), \left\| \cdot \right\|_{q,p,\alpha} \right)$ is a complex Banach space and there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\left\| \cdot \right\|_{q,p,\alpha} \leq C \left\| \cdot \right\|_{\alpha}. \tag{15}$$

b) Assume that $1 \leq q_1 < q_2 \leq \alpha \leq p_1 < p_2 \leq \infty$. Then

$$\left\| \cdot \right\|_{q_1,p,\alpha} \leq C \left\| \cdot \right\|_{q_2,p,\alpha}$$

and

$$\left\| \cdot \right\|_{q,p_2,\alpha} \leq C \left\| \cdot \right\|_{q,p_1,\alpha},$$

for some constant $C > 0$.

The continuous embedding of $L^\alpha(X)$ into $(L^q, L^p)^\alpha(X)$ expressed by Inequality (15), may be an equivalence in some cases.

Theorem 2.9. *There is a constant* $C > 0$ *such that* $\|\cdot\|_\alpha \leq C\|\cdot\|_{q,p,\alpha}$ *whenever* $q = \alpha$ *or* $\alpha = p$.

In the case $q < \alpha < p$, the space $(L^q, L^p)^\alpha(X)$ contains properly $L^\alpha(X)$ as it appears in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.10. *Assume that* $1 \leq q < \alpha < p \leq \infty$. *Then there is a constant* C *such that*

$$\|\cdot\|_{q,p,\alpha} \leq C\|\cdot\|_{\alpha,p}.$$

The previous result may be strengthened in some cases.

Theorem 2.11. *Assume that* $1 \leq q < \alpha < p$ *and that there exists a non decreasing function* φ *on* $[0, \infty)$ *and two constants* $0 < \mathfrak{a} \leq \mathfrak{b} < \infty$ *such that*

$$\mathfrak{a}\varphi(r) \leq \mu(B_{(x,r)}) \leq \mathfrak{b}\varphi(r),\ x \in X, r > 0. \tag{16}$$

Then there is $C > 0$ *such that*

$$\|\cdot\|_{q,p,\alpha} \leq C\|\cdot\|^*_{\alpha,\infty}.$$

From the doubling condition (8) and the reverse doubling condition (10), we obtain that the function φ appearing in hypothesis (16) satisfies

$$\mathfrak{a}_0 r^{D_\mu} \leq \varphi(r) \leq \mathfrak{b}_0 r^{\delta_\mu}, \quad r \leq 1, \tag{17}$$

$$\mathfrak{a}_1 r^{\delta_\mu} \leq \varphi(r) \leq \mathfrak{b}_1 r^{D_\mu}, \quad 1 \leq r, \tag{18}$$

where $\mathfrak{a}_0, \mathfrak{b}_0, \mathfrak{a}_1$ and $\mathfrak{b}_1$ are positive constants.

Notice that Hypothesis (16) is fulfilled for example in the case where X is an Ahlfors n regular metric space, i.e., there is a positive integer n and a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$C^{-1}r^n \leq \mu\left(B_{(x,r)}\right) \leq Cr^n,\ x \in X,\ r > 0,$$

and also in the case where X is a Lie group with polynomial growth equipped with a left Haar measure μ and the Carnot-Carathéodory metric d associated with a Hörmander system of left invariant vector fields (see [17], [22] and [29]).

The next result shows that the inclusion of $L^{\alpha,\infty}(X)$ into $(L^q, L^p)^\alpha(X)$ is proper.

Theorem 2.12. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 2.11, we have* $(L^q, L^p)^\alpha(X) \setminus L^{\alpha,\infty}(X) \neq \emptyset$

3. Auxiliary results

In order to establish various inclusions between the function spaces we study, we need the following "dyadic cube decomposition" of X, proved in [27].

Lemma 3.1. *There is $\rho > 1$, depending only on κ in (9) (we may take $\rho = 8\kappa^5$) , such that, given any integer m, there exists a family $\left\{(x_j^k, E_j^k) : k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geq m, 1 \leq j < N_k\right\}$ where x_j^k are points of X and E_j^k subsets of X satisfying:*

(i) $N_k \in \mathbb{N}^* \cup \{\infty\}$, $k \geq m$,
(ii) $B_{\left(x_j^k,\rho^k\right)} \subset E_j^k \subset B_{\left(x_j^k,\rho^{k+1}\right)}$, $k \geq m$, $1 \leq j < N_k$,
(iii) $X = \cup_{j=1}^{N_k} E_j^k$, *and* $E_i^k \cap E_j^k = \emptyset$ *if* $i \neq j$, $k \geq m$,
(iv) $E_j^k \subset E_i^\ell$ *or* $E_j^k \cap E_i^\ell = \emptyset$, $\ell > k \geq m$, $1 \leq j < N_k$, $1 \leq i < N_\ell$.

The E_j^k are referred to as dyadic cubes of generation k.

Notation 3.2. *Given an integer $k \geq m$ and $r > 0$, we set*

(i) $T_r^k(x) = \left\{i : 1 \leq i < N_k \text{ and } E_i^k \cap B_{(x,r)} \neq \emptyset\right\}$, $x \in X$,
(ii) $S_r^k(j) = \left\{i : 1 \leq i < N_k \text{ and } E_i^k \cap B_{(y,r)} \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } y \in E_j^k\right\}$, $1 \leq j < N_k$.

Remark that $i \in S_r^k(j)$ if and only if $j \in S_r^k(i)$. Inequality (8) provides us with the following useful estimates on the cardinals $\#(S_r^k(x))$ and $\#(T_r^k(x))$ of the sets $S_r^k(x)$ and $T_r^k(x)$ respectively.

Lemma 3.3. *Given integers $k \geq m$, $1 \leq j < N_k$ and $r > 0$, we have*

$$\mu\left(B_{(y,r)}\right) \leq \mathfrak{N}_1(k,r)\mu\left(E_j^k\right), \ y \in E_j^k, \tag{19}$$

$$\mu\left(E_i^k\right) \leq \mathfrak{N}_2(k,r)\mu\left(E_j^k\right) \ \text{ and } \mu\left(E_j^k\right) \leq \mathfrak{N}_2(k,r)\mu\left(E_i^k\right), \ i \in S_r^k(j), \tag{20}$$

$$\#(T_r^k(x)) \leq \mathfrak{N}_2(k,r), \ x \in X, \tag{21}$$

and

$$\#(S_r^k(x)) \leq \mathfrak{N}_3(k,r), \ x \in X, \tag{22}$$

where $\mathfrak{N}_1(k,r) = C_\mu\left[\kappa\left(\rho + \frac{r}{\rho^k}\right)\right]^{D_\mu}$, $\mathfrak{N}_2(k,r) = C_\mu\left[\kappa\left(2\kappa\rho + \frac{r}{\rho^k}\right)\right]^{D_\mu}$ *and* $\mathfrak{N}_3(k,r) = C_\mu\left[\kappa\left((2\kappa^2+1)\rho + \frac{r}{\rho^k}\right)\right]^{D_\mu}\mathfrak{N}_2(k,r)$.

Proof. (a) Inequalities (19) and (20) are obtained immediately from inequality (8), the following inclusions:

- $B_{(x_j^k,\rho^k)} \subset B_{(x_j^k,\kappa(\rho^{k+1}+r))}$ and $B_{(y,r)} \subset B_{(x_j^k,\kappa(\rho^{k+1}+r))}$, $y \in E_j^k$
- $E_i^k \subset B_{(y,\kappa(2\kappa\rho^{k+1}+r))}$ and $B_{(x_j^k,\rho^k)} \subset B_{(y,\kappa(2\kappa\rho^{k+1}+r))}$, $y \in E_j^k$ and $E_i^k \cap B_{(y,r)} \neq \emptyset$,

and the remark stated after Notation 3.2.

(b) Lemma 3.1 (iii) asserts that the E_i^k $(1 \leq i < N_k)$ are pairwise disjoints. Furthermore we have the following inclusions.
$\bullet B_{(x_i^k,\rho^k)} \subset E_i^k \subset B_{(x,\kappa(2\kappa\rho^{k+1}+r))}$ $x \in X$ and $i \in T_r^k(x)$,
$\bullet E_i^k \subset B_{(x_j^k,\kappa[(2\kappa^2+1)\rho^{k+1}+r])}$ and $B_{(x_j^k,\rho^k)} \subset B_{(x_j^k,\kappa[(2\kappa^2+1)\rho^{k+1}+r])}$, $i \in T_r^k(j)$.

Thus by Inequality (8), we obtain for $x \in X$

$$\begin{aligned}
\#(T_r^k(x))C_\mu^{-1}\left[\kappa(2\kappa\rho+\frac{r}{\rho^k})\right]^{-D_\mu}\mu\left(B_{(x,\kappa(2\kappa\rho^{k+1}+r))}\right) &\leq \sum_{i\in T_r^k(x)}\mu\left(B_{(x_j^k,\rho^k)}\right)\\
&\leq \mu\left(B_{(x,\kappa(2\kappa\rho^{k+1}+r))}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
\#(S_r^k(j))\mathfrak{N}_2^{-1}\mu\left(E_j^k\right) &\leq \sum_{i\in S_r^k(j)}\mu\left(E_i^k\right) \leq \mu\left(B_{(x_j^k,\kappa[(2\kappa^2+1)\rho^{k+1}+r])}\right)\\
&\leq C_\mu\left[\kappa((2\kappa^2+1)\rho+\frac{r}{\rho^k})\right]^{D_\mu}\mu\left(E_j^k\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Inequalities (21) and (22) follow.

□

Lemma 3.4. *Assume that* $1 \leq q, p \leq \infty$, *with* $p \neq \infty$, $0 \leq s$, m *and* k *are integers satisfying* $k \geq m$, $1 \leq j < N_k$ *and* $2\kappa\rho^{k+1} \leq r$. *Then, for any* μ*-measurable function* f *on* X, *we have*

$$\mu\left(E_j^k\right)^{-s}\left\|f\chi_{E_j^k}\right\|_q^p \leq \mathfrak{N}_1(k,r)^{s+1}\int_{E_j^k}\mu\left(B_{(y,r)}\right)^{-s-1}\left\|f\chi_{B_{(y,r)}}\right\|_q^p d\mu(y)$$

where $\mathfrak{N}_1(k,r)$ *is as in Inequality (19).*

Proof. Notice that

$$\inf_{E_j^k}\text{ess}\left\|f\chi_{B_{(y,r)}}\right\|_q^p \leq \mu\left(E_j^k\right)^{-1}\int_{E_j^k}\left\|f\chi_{B_{(y,r)}}\right\|_q^p d\mu(y)$$

with equality only when $\left\|f\chi_{B_{(y,r)}}\right\|_q$ is a constant almost everywhere on E_j^k. Thus, there is an element y_j^k of E_j^k such that

$$\left\|f\chi_{B_{(y_j^k,r)}}\right\|_q^p \leq \mu\left(E_j^k\right)^{-1}\int_{E_j^k}\left\|f\chi_{B_{(y,r)}}\right\|_q^p d\mu(y).$$

Since E_j^k is included in $B_{(y,r)}$ for every y in E_j^k, we have

$$\mu\left(E_j^k\right)^{-s}\left\|f\chi_{E_j^k}\right\|_q^p \leq \mu\left(E_j^k\right)^{-s}\left\|f\chi_{B_{(y_j^k,r)}}\right\|_q^p \leq \mu\left(E_j^k\right)^{-s-1}\int_{E_j^k}\left\|f\chi_{B_{(y,r)}}\right\|_q^p d\mu(y).$$

The result follows from inequality (19). □

We shall use the following result which may be viewed as a generalization of the Young inequality in a space without group structure.

Lemma 3.5. *Let β, t and γ be elements of $[1\ ,\infty]$ such that $\frac{1}{\gamma} = \frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{1}{t} - 1$ and $K(x,y)$ a positive kernel on X. There is a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|Tg\|_{\gamma} \leq C \left\| \|K\|_{\beta} \right\|_{\infty} \|g\|^{*}_{t,\gamma}\,,\ g \in L_0(X),$$

where

$$Tg(y) = \int_X g(x)K(x,y)d\mu(x),$$

and

$$\left\| \|K\|_{\beta} \right\|_{\infty} = \max\left(\sup_{y\in X} ess \left\|K\left(.,y\right)\right\|_{\beta} ; \sup_{x\in X} ess \left\|K\left(x,.\right)\right\|_{\beta} \right).$$

Proof. 1) Let $g \in L_0(X)$ and put $\widetilde{g}(y) = \int_X |g(x)|\, K(x,y)\, d\mu(x)$. We claim that

$$\|\tilde{g}\|^{*}_{\gamma,\infty} \leq C \left\| \|K\|_{\beta} \right\|_{\infty} \|g\|^{*}_{t,\infty}.$$

If $g \notin L^{t,\infty}(X)$ or $\|g\|^{*}_{t,\infty} = 0$, or $\left\| \|K\|_{\beta} \right\|_{\infty} \in \{0,\infty\}$ then the claim is trivially verified. So we assume that $0 < \|g\|^{*}_{t,\infty} < \infty$ and $0 < \left\| \|K\|_{\beta} \right\|_{\infty} < \infty$. Define

$$g_1(x) = \begin{cases} g(x) & \text{if } \ |g(x)| \leq M \\ 0 & \text{if not} \end{cases} \qquad \text{and } g_2(x) = g(x) - g_1(x),\ x \in X,$$

where M is a positive real number to be specified later. For $\alpha > 0$, we have $\lambda_{\widetilde{g}}(\alpha) \leq \lambda_{\widetilde{g}_1}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) + \lambda_{\widetilde{g}_2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)$ since $\widetilde{g} \leq \widetilde{g}_1 + \widetilde{g}_2$.

a) We can estimate $\lambda_{\widetilde{g}_1}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X |g_1(x)|^{\beta'} d\mu(x) &= \beta' \int_0^{\infty} s^{\beta'-1} \lambda_{g_1}(s)\, ds \leq \beta' \int_0^{M} s^{\beta'-1} \lambda_{g}(s)\, ds \\ &\leq \beta' \left(\int_0^M s^{\beta'-1-t} ds \right) \left(\|g\|^{*}_{t,\infty} \right)^{t} = \frac{\beta'}{\beta' - t} M^{\beta'-t} \left(\|g\|^{*}_{t,\infty} \right)^{t}. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} |\widetilde{g}_1(y)| &= \int_X |g_1(x)|\, K(x,y) d\mu(x) \leq \left(\int_X |g_1(x)|^{\beta'} d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta'}} \left(\int_X K^{\beta}(x,y) d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\gamma}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta'}} M^{\frac{t}{\gamma}} \left(\|g\|^{*}_{t,\infty} \right)^{-\frac{t}{\beta'}} \left\| \|K\|_{\beta} \right\|_{\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us choose

$$M = \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{t}} \left(\frac{t}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{t\beta'}} \left(\|g\|^{*}_{t,\infty} \right)^{-\frac{\gamma}{\beta'}} \left\| \|K\|_{\beta} \right\|_{\infty}^{-\frac{\gamma}{t}}.$$

We have $\|\widetilde{g}_1\|_\infty \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}$ and therefore $\lambda_{\widetilde{g}_1}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) = 0$.

b) We also have the following estimate of $\lambda_{\widetilde{g}_2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_X |g_2(x)|\, d\mu\,(x) &= \int_0^\infty \lambda_{g_2}(s)ds \leq \int_0^M \lambda_g(M)ds + \int_M^\infty \lambda_g(s)ds \\ &\leq M^{1-t}\left(\|g\|^*_{t,\infty}\right)^t + \left(\int_M^\infty s^{-t}ds\right)\left(\|g\|^*_{t,\infty}\right)^t = \left(\frac{t}{t-1}\right) M^{1-t}\left(\|g\|^*_{t,\infty}\right)^t.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{\widetilde{g}_2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) &\leq \left(\frac{2}{\alpha}\right)^\beta \int_{\left\{u\in X:\left|\widetilde{g}_2(u)\right|>\frac{\alpha}{2}\right\}} \left(\int_X |g_2(x)|\, K(x,y)d\mu(x)\right)^\beta d\mu\,(y) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{2}{\alpha}\right)^\beta \left[\int_X |g_2(x)| \left(\int_{\left\{u\in X:\left|\widetilde{g}_2(u)\right|>\frac{\alpha}{2}\right\}} K^\beta(x,y)d\mu(y)\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} d\mu\,(x)\right]^\beta \\ &\leq \left(\frac{2}{\alpha}\right)^\beta \left\|\|K\|_\beta\right\|_\infty^\beta \left[\int_X |g_2(x)|\, d\mu\,(x)\right]^\beta \\ &\leq \left(\frac{2}{\alpha}\right)^\beta \left\|\|K\|_\beta\right\|_\infty^\beta \left[\left(\frac{t}{t-1}\right) M^{1-t}\left(\|g\|^*_{t,\infty}\right)^t\right]^\beta \leq \left(C\alpha^{-1}\left\|\|K\|_\beta\right\|_\infty \|g\|^*_{t,\infty}\right)^\gamma,\end{aligned}$$

with $C = (2)^\gamma\left(\frac{t}{t-1}\right)^\beta\left(\frac{t}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{t\beta'}(1-t)\beta}$.

From a) and b) we get

$$\lambda_{\widetilde{g}}\,(\alpha) \leq \left(C\alpha^{-1}\left\|\|K\|_\beta\right\|_\infty \|g\|^*_{t,\infty}\right)^\gamma.$$

As this inequality is true for $\alpha > 0$, we have

$$\|Tg\|^*_{\gamma,\infty} \leq C\left\|\|K\|_\beta\right\|_\infty \|g\|^*_{t,\infty}.$$

2) Notice that T is a linear operator. Therefore, the result follows from 1) and Stein interpolation theorem (see [28]).

□

4. Proof of the main results

Throughout this paragraph, for every $r > 0$, m_r denotes the unique integer which verifies

$$\rho^{m_r+1} \leq \frac{r}{2\kappa} < \rho^{m_r+2}. \tag{23}$$

Notice that the constants in Lemma 3.3 satisfy

$$\mathfrak{N}_1(m_r,r) \leq C_\mu\left[\kappa\rho(1+2\kappa\rho)\right]^{D_\mu} = \mathfrak{N}_1, \tag{24}$$

$$\mathfrak{N}_2(m_r,r) \leq C_\mu\left[2\kappa^2\rho(1+\rho)\right]^{D_\mu} = \mathfrak{N}_2, \tag{25}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{N}_3(m_r,r) \leq C_\mu \left[\kappa\rho(2\kappa^2+2\kappa\rho+1)\right]^{D_\mu} \mathfrak{N}_2 = \mathfrak{N}_3. \tag{26}$$

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Let f be a μ-measurable function on X such that ${}_r\left\|f\right\|_{q,p,\alpha} = 0$. Since balls in X have positive measure, $\left\|\mu(B_{(\cdot,r)})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\left\|f\chi_{B(\cdot,r)}\right\|_q\right\|_p = 0$ implies that there exists a μ-null subset E of X such that

$$\left\|f\chi_{B(\cdot,r)}\right\|_q = 0 \text{ in } X\setminus E.$$

Similarly, for any y in $X\setminus E$, there exists a μ-null subset F_y of X out of which $f\chi_{B_{(y,r)}} = 0$. For $1 \leq j < N_{m_r}$, the intersection of $X\setminus E$ and $B_{\left(x_j^{m_r},\rho^{m_r+1}\right)}$ is non void. So we may pick in it an element y_j. Since $E_j^{m_r} \subset B_{\left(x_j^{m_r},\rho^{m_r+1}\right)} \subset B_{(y_j,r)}$, we have $X = \overset{N_{m_r}}{\underset{j=1}{\cup}} E_j^{m_r} = \overset{N_{m_r}}{\underset{j=1}{\cup}} B_{(y_j,r)}$. Setting $F = \cup_{j=1}^{N_{m_r}} F_{y_j}$, we have $f = 0$ in $X\setminus F$. The result follows from the fact that $\mu(F) = 0$. □

Proof of Theorem 2.4. It is clear from Theorem 2.2 and the definition of ${}_r\left\|\cdot\right\|_{q,p,\alpha}$ that $(L^q,L^p)_r^\alpha(X)$ is a complex vector space and ${}_r\left\|\cdot\right\|_{q,p,\alpha}$ is a norm on it. All we need to prove is completeness.

Let $(f_n)_{n>0}$ be a sequence of elements of $(L^q,L^p)_r^\alpha(X)$ such that $\sum\limits_{n>0} {}_r\left\|f_n\right\|_{q,p,\alpha} < \infty$.

Since $\sum\limits_{n>0}\left\|\mu\left(B_{(\cdot,r)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\left\|f_n\chi_{B_{(\cdot,r)}}\right\|_q\right\|_p = \sum\limits_{n>0} {}_r\left\|f_n\right\|_{q,p,\alpha} < \infty$, there exists a $\mu-$null subset E of X out of which

$$\sum_{n>0}\left\|f_n\chi_{B_{(y,r)}}\right\|_q < \infty.$$

Therefore, for any element y of $X\setminus E$, there is a $\mu-$null subset F_y of X out of which $\sum\limits_{n>0} f_n\chi_{B_{(y,r)}}$ converges absolutely. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 2.2, we shall obtain a $\mu-$null subset F of X such that $\sum_{n>0} f_n$ converges absolutely on $X\setminus F$. Define

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \sum\limits_{n>0} f_n(x) & \text{if } x\in X\setminus F \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

We have

$${}_r\left\|f\right\|_{q,p,\alpha} \leq \sum_{n>0} {}_r\left\|f_n\right\|_{q,p,\alpha} < \infty.$$

In addition, for any positive integer n and any element y of X,

$$\left\|f\chi_{B_{(y,r)}} - \sum_{k=1}^{n} f_k\chi_{B_{(y,r)}}\right\|_q \leq \sum_{k>n}\left\|f_k\chi_{B_{(y,r)}}\right\|_q.$$

Therefore

$$_r\left\|f-\sum_{k=1}^{n}f_k\right\|_{q,p,\alpha}\leq\sum_{k>n}{}_r\left\|f_k\right\|_{q,p,\alpha}.$$

Thus $\sum\limits_{n>0} f_n$ converges to f in $(L^q,L^p)_r^\alpha(X)$. $\square$

The norm $_r\left\|\cdot\right\|_{q,p,\alpha}$ is not easy to be used. The following proposition provides us with an equivalent norm.

Proposition 4.1. *Let f be any μ-measurable function on X, and $r>0$. Put*

$$\left\|f\right\|_{q,p,\alpha}^{dm_r}=\begin{cases}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{N_{m_r}}\left(\mu\left(E_j^{m_r}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{q}}\left\|f\chi_{E_j^{m_r}}\right\|_q\right)^p\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} & if \quad p<\infty\\ \sup\limits_{1\leq j<N_{m_r}}\mu\left(E_j^{m_r}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{q}}\left\|f\chi_{E_j^{m_r}}\right\|_q & if \quad p=\infty\end{cases}.$$

Then, there are positive constants C_1 and C_2, not depending on f and r, such that

$$C_1\ {}_r\left\|f\right\|_{q,p,\alpha}\leq\left\|f\right\|_{q,p,\alpha}^{dm_r}\leq C_2\ {}_r\left\|f\right\|_{q,p,\alpha}. \tag{27}$$

Proof. Let f be any μ-measurable function on X and $r>0$.

1st case. We suppose that $p<\infty$.

a) We have

$$\begin{aligned}_r\left\|f\right\|_{q,p,\alpha}^p &= \int_X\left\{\mu\left(B_{(y,r)}\right)^{\frac{q}{\alpha}-\frac{q}{p}-1}\int_X\left(|f|^q\chi_{B_{(y,r)}}\right)(x)d\mu(x)\right\}^{\frac{p}{q}}d\mu\left(y\right)\\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{N_{m_r}}\int_{E_j^{m_r}}\left\{\sum_{i\in T_r^{m_r}(y)}\mu\left(B_{(y,r)}\right)^{\frac{q}{\alpha}-\frac{q}{p}-1}\int_{E_i^{m_r}}\left(|f|^q\chi_{B_{(y,r)}}\right)(x)d\mu(x)\right\}^{\frac{p}{q}}d\mu\left(y\right)\\ &\leq \mathfrak{N}_2^{\frac{p}{q}-1}\sum_{j=1}^{N_{m_r}}\int_{E_j^{m_r}}\mu\left(B_{(y,r)}\right)^{\frac{p}{\alpha}-\frac{p}{q}-1}\sum_{i\in T_r^{m_r}(y)}\left[\int_{E_i^{m_r}}\left(|f|^q\chi_{B_{(y,r)}}\right)(x)d\mu(x)\right]^{\frac{p}{q}}d\mu\left(y\right),\end{aligned}$$

according to Inequalities (21) and (25). As $2\kappa\rho^{m_r+1}\leq r$, we have $E_i^{m_r}\subset B_{(y,2\kappa r)}$ for $i\in T_r^{m_r}(y)$ and therefore by Inequality (8),

$$\mu\left(E_i^{m_r}\right)\leq C_\mu(2\kappa)^{D_\mu}\mu\left(B_{(y,r)}\right),\ i\in T_r^{m_r}(y). \tag{28}$$

Taking into account Inequalities (28), (20) and (25), we obtain

$$_r\left\|f\right\|_{q,p,\alpha}^p\leq C\sum_{j=1}^{N_{m_r}}\sum_{i\in S_r^{m_r}(j)}\mu(E_i^{m_r})^{\frac{p}{\alpha}-\frac{p}{q}}\left\|f\chi_{E_i^{m_r}}\right\|_q^p.$$

So by Inequalities (22) and (26) we get

$$_r\left\|f\right\|_{q,p,\alpha}^p\leq C\mathfrak{N}_3\sum_{i=1}^{N_{m_r}}\mu(E_i^{m_r})^{\frac{p}{\alpha}-\frac{p}{q}}\left\|f\chi_{E_i^{m_r}}\right\|_q^p\leq C\mathfrak{N}_3\left(\left\|f\right\|_{q,p,\alpha}^{d_{m_r}}\right)^p.$$

b) Notice that if ${}_r\left\|f\right\|_{q,p,\alpha}=\infty$, then (27) follows trivially from the above inequality. Let us assume that ${}_r\left\|f\right\|_{q,p,\alpha}<\infty$. For $1\leq j<N_{m_r}$, we have

$$\mu\left(E_j^{m_r}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{q}}\left\|f\chi_{E_j^{m_r}}\right\|_q^p\leq\mathfrak{N}_1^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{\alpha}+1}\int_{E_j^{m_r}}\mu\left(B_{(y,r)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{q}-1}\left\|f\chi_{B_{(y,r)}}\right\|_q^p d\mu(y),$$

according to Lemma 3.4. As the $E_j^{m_r}$ $(1\leq j<N_{m_r})$ are pairwise disjoints, this implies

$$\left\|f\right\|_{q,p,\alpha}^{d_{m_r}}\leq\mathfrak{N}_1^{\frac{1}{p}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{\alpha}+1}\ {}_r\left\|f\right\|_{q,p,\alpha}.$$

2nd case. We suppose that $p=\infty$.

(a) We have

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_r\left\|f\right\|_{q,\infty,\alpha} &= \sup_{y\in X}\left[\sum_{j\in T_r^{m_r}(y)}\mu\left(B_{(y,r)}\right)^{\frac{q}{\alpha}-1}\int_{E_j^{m_r}}\left|f(x)\chi_{B_{(y,r)}}(x)\right|^q d\mu(x)\right]^{\frac{1}{q}}\\
&\leq \left[C_\mu(2\kappa)^{D_\mu}\right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{q}}\sup_{y\in X}\sum_{j\in T_r^{m_r}(y)}\mu\left(E_j^{m_r}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{q}}\left\|f\chi_{E_j^{m_r}}\right\|_q\\
&\leq \left[C_\mu(2\kappa)^{D_\mu}\right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{q}}\mathfrak{N}_2\left\|f\right\|_{q,\infty,\alpha}^{d_{m_r}},
\end{aligned}$$

according to Inequalities (28), (21) and (25).

(b) From Inequalities (19) and (24) we have

$$\mu(E_j^k)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{q}}\leq\mathfrak{N}_1\mu(B_{(y,r)})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{q}},\quad 1\leq j<N_{m_r}\text{ and }y\in E_j^{m_r}$$

and therefore

$$\left\|f\right\|_{q,\infty,\alpha}^{d_{m_r}}\leq\mathfrak{N}_1\sup_{1\leq j<N_{m_r}}\sup_{y\in E_j^{m_r}}\mu(B_{(y,r)})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{q}}\left\|f\chi_{E_j^{m_r}}\right\|_q.$$

As $2\kappa\rho^{m_r+1}\leq r$, we have

$$E_j^{m_r}\subset B_{(y,r)},\quad 1\leq j<N_{m_r}\text{ and }y\in E_j^{m_r}.$$

Thus,

$$\left\|f\right\|_{q,\infty,\alpha}^{d_{m_r}}\leq\mathfrak{N}_1\sup_{y\in X}\mu(B_{(y,r)})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{q}}\left\|f\chi_{B_{(y,r)}}\right\|_q=\mathfrak{N}_1\ {}_r\left\|f\right\|_{q,\infty,\alpha}.$$

3rd case. For $q=p=\infty$, it is clear that

$$ {}_r\left\|f\right\|_{\infty,\infty,\infty}=\left\|f\right\|_\infty=\left\|f\right\|_{\infty,\infty,\infty}^{d_{m_r}}. \tag{29}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2.5. a) Inequality (12) is an immediate consequence of Hölder inequality.

b) Observe that as $0 < p_1 < p_2 < \infty$, we have for any sequence $(a_j)_{1\leq j}$ of nonnegative numbers ,

$$\sup_{1\leq j} a_j \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j^{p_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j^{p_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}}$$

and therefore

$$\|\cdot\|_{q,\infty,\alpha}^{dm_r} \leq \|\cdot\|_{q,p_2,\alpha}^{dm_r} \leq \|\cdot\|_{q,p_1,\alpha}^{dm_r}.$$

Inequality (13) follows from these inequalities and Proposition 4.1. □

Proof of Theorem 2.6. Let f be any μ-measurable function on X.

1rst case. We suppose that $p = \infty$.

By Hölder inequality we have

$$_r\|f\|_{q,\infty,\alpha} \leq \sup_{y\in X}\left\|f\chi_{B_{(y,r)}}\right\|_\alpha \leq \|f\|_\alpha.$$

2nd case. We suppose that $p < \infty$. Then we have

$$\|f\|_{q,p,\alpha}^{dm_r} \leq \left[\sum_{j=1}^{N_{m_r}}\left(\mu(E_j^{m_r})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{q}}\left\|f\chi_{E_j^{m_r}}\right\|_q\right)^p\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N_{m_r}}\left\|f\chi_{E_j^{m_r}}\right\|_\alpha^\alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \leq \|f\|_\alpha$$

according to Hölder inequality, the fact that $0 < \alpha \leq p < \infty$ and the pairwise disjointness of the $E_j^{m_r}$ $(1 \leq j < N_{m_r})$. From this inequality and Proposition 4.1 we obtain (14).

□

Proof of Theorem 2.9.

1rst case. We suppose that $q = \alpha = p$.

It is clear from Proposition 4.1 that there is a constant C_2, not depending on f, such that

$$\|f\|_\alpha = \|f\|_{\alpha,\alpha,\alpha}^{dm_r} \leq C_2\ {}_r\|f\|_{\alpha,\alpha,\alpha}, \quad r > 0$$

and therefore

$$\|f\|_\alpha \leq C_2\|f\|_{\alpha,\alpha,\alpha}.$$

2nd case. We suppose that $q = \alpha < p = \infty$.

For any element y of X formula (11) yields

$$\left\|f\chi_{B_{(y,r)}}\right\|_\alpha \leq\ _r\|f\|_{\alpha,\alpha,\infty} \leq \|f\|_{\alpha,\alpha,\infty},\ r > 0$$

and therefore

$$\|f\|_\alpha = \lim_{r\to\infty}\left\|f\chi_{B_{(y,r)}}\right\|_\alpha | \leq \|f\|_{\alpha,\alpha,\infty}.$$

3^{rd} case. We suppose that $q = \alpha < p < \infty$. For $y \in X$ and $r > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|f\chi_{B_{(y,r)}}\right\|_{\alpha} &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N_{m_r}} \int_{E_j^{m_r}} |f(x)|^{\alpha} \chi_{B_{(y,r)}}(x) d\mu(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \\ &= \left(\sum_{j \in T_r^{m_r}(y)} \int_X \left|(f\chi_{E_j^{m_r}})(x)\right|^{\alpha} \chi_{B_{(y,r)}}(x) d\mu(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{j \in T_r^{m_r}(y)} \left\|f\chi_{E_j^{m_r}}\right\|_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \leq \mathfrak{N}_2^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{j \in T_r^{m_r}(y)} \left\|f\chi_{E_j^{m_r}}\right\|_{\alpha}^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\end{aligned}$$

according to Inequalities (20) and (24). So by Proposition 4.1, we get

$$\left\|f\chi_{B_{(y,r)}}\right\|_{\alpha} \leq \mathfrak{N}_2^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{p}} C_{2\ r} \|f\|_{\alpha,\alpha,p}, \quad y \in X,\ r > 0$$

and therefore

$$\|f\|_{\alpha} \leq \mathfrak{N}_2^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{p}} C_{2\ r} \|f\|_{\alpha,\alpha,p}.$$

4^{th} case. We suppose that $q < \alpha = p$. We assume that $\|f\|_{q,p,p} < \infty$, since otherwise the result follows from Theorem 2.8. For $r > 0$, put

$$f_r(x) = \mu\left(B_{(y,r)}\right)^{-\frac{1}{q}} \left\|f\chi_{B_{(y,r)}}\right\|_{q}.$$

On one hand, we have for $\mu-$almost every x in X,

$$|f(x)| = \lim_{r \to 0} f_r(x) \leq \|f\|_{q,\infty,\infty}.$$

Consequently

$$\|f\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_{q,\infty,\infty}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\left[\int_X {f_r}^p(x) d\mu(x)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C \|f\|_{q,p,p}.$$

So, according to Fatou's lemma, $|f|^p$ is integrable and $\|f\|_p \leq C \|f\|_{q,p,p}$.

□

Proof of Theorem 2.10. Let $\frac{1}{\beta} = 1 - \frac{q}{\alpha} + \frac{q}{p}$, f a μ-measurable function on X and $r > 0$.

We have $1 < \beta$, $\frac{\alpha}{q} < \infty$ and $\frac{q}{p} = \frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{q}{\alpha} - 1$. Put

$$K(x,y) = \mu\left(B_{(x,r)}\right)^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} \chi_{B_{(y,r)}}(x), \quad x, y \in X$$

and

$$Tg(y) = \int_X g(x) K(x,y) d\mu(y), \quad g \in L_0(X).$$

If $x \in B_{(y,r)}$ then $B_{(y,r)} \subset B_{(x,2\kappa r)}$ and therefore $\mu\left(B_{(y,r)}\right)^{-1} \leq C_\mu (2\kappa)^{D_\mu} \mu\left(B_{(x,r)}\right)^{-1}$.

Thus

$$\left(\int_X |K(x,y)|^\beta d\mu(y)\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} = \left(\int_X \mu\left(B_{(y,r)}\right)^{-1} \chi_{B_{(x,r)}}(y) d\mu(y)\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \leq C_\mu (2\kappa)^{D_\mu},$$

and

$$\left(\int_X |K(x,y)|^\beta d\mu(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} = \left(\int_X \mu\left(B_{(y,r)}\right)^{-1} \chi_{B_{(y,r)}}(x) d\mu(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} = 1.$$

By Lemma 3.5, there is a constant C such that

$$\|T(|f|^q)\|_{\frac{p}{q}} \leq C \left\| |f|^q \right\|^*_{\frac{\alpha}{q}, \frac{p}{q}}.$$

Furthermore

$${}_r\|f\|_{q,p,\alpha} = \left[\int_X (T(|f|^q)(y))^{\frac{p}{q}} d\mu(y)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left(\|T(|f|^q)\|_{\frac{p}{q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Thus

$${}_r\|f\|_{q,p,\alpha} \leq \left(C \left\| |f|^q \right\|^*_{\frac{\alpha}{q}, \frac{p}{q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = C^{\frac{1}{q}} \|f\|^*_{\alpha,p}.$$

The result follows. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2.11. Let f be any μ-measurable function on X. If f does not belong to $L^{\alpha,\infty}(X)$ then $\|f\|^*_{\alpha,\infty} = \infty$ and there is nothing to prove. So we assume that f is in $L^{\alpha,\infty}(X)$ and put $\|f\|^*_{\alpha,\infty} = A$.

a) Let us fix r and λ in $(0,\infty)$ and put

$$E = \{x \in X : |f(x)|^q > \beta\} \text{ with } \beta = \frac{\lambda}{4\varphi(\rho^{m_r+1})\mathfrak{b}}.$$

For any integer $1 \leq j < N_{m_r}$ such that $\left\|f\chi_{E_j^{m_r}}\right\|_q^q > \lambda$, we have

$$\lambda - \left\|f\chi_{E\cap E_j^{m_r}}\right\|_q^q < \int_{E_j^{m_r}\setminus E} |f(x)|^q d\mu(x) \leq \beta\mu\left(E_j^{m_r} \setminus E\right) \leq \frac{\lambda}{4}.$$

Therefore $\frac{3\lambda}{4} < \left\|f\chi_{E\cap E_j^{m_r}}\right\|_q^q$ and

$$\#\left(\left\{j : 1 \leq j < N_{m_r} \text{ and } \left\|f\chi_{E_j^{m_r}}\right\|_q^q > \lambda\right\}\right) \leq \#\left(\left\{j : 1 \leq j < N_{m_r} \text{ and } \left\|f\chi_{E_j^{m_r}\cap E}\right\|_q^q > \frac{3\lambda}{4}\right\}\right).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{3\lambda}{4}\#&\left(\left\{j: 1\leq j<N_{m_r} \text{ and } \left\|f\chi_{E_j^{m_r}}\right\|_q^q>\lambda\right\}\right)\\ &\leq\frac{3\lambda}{4}\#\left(\left\{j: 1\leq j<N_{m_r} \text{ and } \left\|f\chi_{E_j^{m_r}\cap E}\right\|_q^q>\frac{3\lambda}{4}\right\}\right)\\ &\leq\sum_{j=1}^{N_{m_r}}\int_{E\cap E_j^{m_r}}|f(x)|^q\,d\mu(x)\leq\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-q}\right)A^q\mu\left(E\right)^{1-\frac{q}{\alpha}}\end{aligned}$$

according to Kolmogorov condition (see [16]). As

$$\mu\left(E\right)=\lambda_f(\beta^{\frac{1}{q}})\leq\left(\beta^{-\frac{1}{q}}A\right)^{\alpha}=\left(\frac{4\varphi(\rho^{m_r+1})\mathfrak{b}}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{q}}A^{\alpha},$$

we obtain

$$\frac{3\lambda}{4}\#\left(\left\{j: 1\leq j<N_{m_r} \text{ and } \left\|f\chi_{E_j^{m_r}}\right\|_q^q>\lambda\right\}\right)\leq\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-q}\left(\frac{4\varphi(\rho^{m_r+1})\mathfrak{b}}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{q}-1}A^{\alpha},$$

that is

$$\#\left(\left\{j: 1\leq j<N_{m_r} \text{ and } \left\|f\chi_{E_j^{m_r}}\right\|_q^q>\lambda\right\}\right)\leq C\varphi(\rho^{m_r+1})^{\frac{\alpha}{q}-1}\lambda^{-\frac{\alpha}{q}}A^{\alpha} \tag{30}$$

with $C=\frac{4^{\frac{\alpha}{q}}\alpha\mathfrak{b}^{\frac{\alpha}{q}-1}}{3(\alpha-q)}$.

b) Assume that $p<\infty$. Suppose that $1<s<\infty$ and $r>0$ and put

$$d_j=\left\|f\chi_{E_j^{m_r}}\right\|_q A^{-1}\left[\mathfrak{b}\varphi(\rho^{m_r+1})\right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-q}\right)^{-\frac{1}{q}},\quad 1\leq j<N_{m_r}.$$

From Kolmogorov condition, we obtain $0\leq d_j\leq 1$ for $1\leq j<N_{m_r}$. In addition, for any number λ, we have

$$\#\left(\{j: 1\leq j<N_{m_r}, d_j>\lambda\}\right)\leq C\left[\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\lambda\right]^{-\alpha}$$

according to Inequality (30). Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=1}^{N_{m_r}}d_j^p&=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{s^{-n-1}<d_k\leq s^{-n}}d_k^p\right)\leq\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}C\left[\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}s^{-n-1}\right]^{-\alpha}s^{-np}\\ &\leq C\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-q}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{q}}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}s^{\alpha-(p-\alpha)n}=C\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-q}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{q}}\frac{s^{2\alpha-p}}{s^{p-\alpha}-1}.\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{q,p,\alpha}^{dm_r} &\leq \left[\sup_{1\leq j<N_{m_r}} \frac{\varphi(\rho^{m_r+1})}{\mu\left(E_j^{m_r}\right)}\right]^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{N_{m_r}} \left[\left\|f\chi_{E_j^{m_r}}\right\|_q A^{-1}\left(\mathfrak{b}\varphi(\rho^{m_r+1})\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-q}\right)^{-\frac{1}{q}}\right]^p\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\quad \times A\mathfrak{b}^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \left[\sup_{1\leq j<N_{m_r}} \frac{\varphi(\rho^{m_r+1})}{\mu\left(E_j^{m_r}\right)}\right]^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}(1-\frac{\alpha}{p})}\left(\frac{s^{2\alpha-p}}{s^{p-\alpha}-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} C^{\frac{1}{p}}A.\end{aligned}$$

As $r>0$ is arbitrary in $(0,\infty)$, we obtain

$$\|f\|_{q,p,\alpha} \leq C\,\|f\|_{\alpha,\infty}^{*}$$

with C a constant not depending on f.

c) For any number $r>0$ and positive integer $j<N_{m_r}$, we have according to Kolmogorov condition

$$\mu\left(E_j^{m_r}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{q}}\left\|f\chi_{E_j^{m_r}}\right\|_q \leq \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} A.$$

Thus

$$\|f\|_{q,\infty,\alpha} \leq \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\|f\|_{\alpha,\infty}^{*}.$$

□

Up to now we have used in our proofs the decomposition of X in dyadic cubes as given by Sawyer and Wheeden in [27]. The dyadic cubes E_j^k $k\geq m, 1\leq j<N_k$ have their size bounded below by ρ^m with $\rho>1$ and m a fixed integer. For the proof of the next theorem, we shall use the following decomposition given by Christ in [5].

Lemma 4.2. *There exist a collection of open subsets* $\left\{Q_\alpha^k\subset X : k\in\mathbb{Z},\alpha\in I_k\right\}$, *and constants* ρ *in* $(0,1)$, $\mathfrak{c}_0>0$, $\eta>0$ *and* $\mathfrak{c}_1,\mathfrak{c}_2<\infty$ *such that*

(i) $\mu\left(X\setminus\bigcup_\alpha Q_\alpha^k\right)=0\ \ \forall k$,
(ii) *if* $\ell\geq k$ *then either* $Q_\beta^\ell\subset Q_\alpha^k$ *or* $Q_\beta^\ell\cap Q_\alpha^k=\emptyset$,
(iii) *for each* (k,α) *and each* $\ell<k$ *there is a unique* β *such that* $Q_\alpha^k\subset Q_\beta^\ell$,
(iv) *diameter*$(Q_\alpha^k)\leq\mathfrak{c}_1\rho^k$,
(v) *each* Q_α^k *contains some ball* $B_{(z_\alpha^k,\mathfrak{c}_0\rho^k)}$,
(vi) $\mu\left(\left\{x\in Q_\alpha^k : d(x,X\setminus Q_\alpha^k)\leq t\rho^k\right\}\right)\leq\mathfrak{c}_2t^\eta\mu(Q_\alpha^k)\quad\forall k,\alpha,\ \forall t>0.$

Proof of Theorem 2.12. Throughout the proof, we shall use the notation of the above lemma.

A- (a) Let us consider an element β_1 of I_1 and put $E_1 = Q^1_{\beta_1}$. Then

$$B_{(z^1_{\beta_1}, \mathfrak{c}_0\rho)} \subset Q^1_{\beta_1} \subset B_{(z^1_{\beta_1}, \mathfrak{c}_1\rho)}.$$

So by Inequalities (16), (17) and (18),

$$\mu\left(E_1\right) = m \in \left[\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}_0\mathfrak{c}_0^{D_\mu}\rho^{D_\mu}, \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{b}_0\mathfrak{c}_1^{\delta_\mu}\rho^{\delta_\mu}\right].$$

(b) Let $\alpha_2 \in I_{-2^2-1}$ such that $Q^1_{\beta_1} \subset Q^{-2^2-1}_{\alpha_2}$.
Put

$$F_1 = \emptyset,\ F_2 = Q^{-2^2-1}_{\alpha_2} \text{ and } \tilde{J}_2 = \left\{ j \in I_{-2^2-1} : d(Q^{-2^2-1}_j, F_2) > \mathfrak{c}_1\rho^{-2^2-1} \right\}.$$

For each $j \in \tilde{J}_2$, let $\beta_j \in I_2$ be so that $d(z^{-2^2-1}_j, Q^2_{\beta_j}) < \rho^2$. We have

$$\mu\left(Q^2_{\beta_j}\right) \in \left[\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}_0\mathfrak{c}_0^{D_\mu}\rho^{2D_\mu}, \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{b}_0\mathfrak{c}_1^{\delta_\mu}\rho^{2\delta_\mu}\right],\ j \in \tilde{J}_2.$$

We can therefore choose a finite subset J_2 of $\tilde{J}_2$ such that

$$\sum_{j\in J_2} \mu\left(Q^2_{\beta_j}\right) \in \left[m, m + \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{b}_0\mathfrak{c}_1^{\delta_\mu}\right).$$

Let us take

$$E_2 = \cup_{j\in J_2} Q^2_{\beta_j}.$$

(c) Let us consider for every $j \in J_2$ the element α_j of I_{-2^3-1} such that $Q^{-2^2-1}_j \subset Q^{-2^3-1}_{\alpha_j}$.
Put

$$F_3 = \cup_{j\in J_2} Q^{-2^3-1}_{\alpha_j} \text{ and } \tilde{J}_3 = \left\{ j \in I_{-2^3-1} : d(Q^{-2^3-1}_j, F_3) > \mathfrak{c}_1\rho^{-2^3-1} \right\}.$$

For any $j \in \tilde{J}_3$, let $\beta_j \in I_3$ such that $d(z^{-2^3-1}_j, Q^3_{\beta_j}) < \rho^3$. We have

$$\mu\left(Q^3_{\beta_j}\right) \in \left[\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}_0\mathfrak{c}_0^{D_\mu}\rho^{3D_\mu}, \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{b}_0\mathfrak{c}_1^{\delta_\mu}\rho^{3\delta_\mu}\right],\ j \in \tilde{J}_3.$$

Thus we can pick a finite subset J_3 in $\tilde{J}_3$ such that

$$\sum_{j\in J_3} \mu\left(Q^3_{\beta_j}\right) \in \left[m, m + \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{b}_0\mathfrak{c}_1^{\delta_\mu}\rho^{3\delta_\mu}\right).$$

Put $E_3 = \cup_{j\in J_3} Q^3_{\beta_j}$.

(d) By iteration we obtain two sequences $(E_n)_{n\geq 1}$ and $(F_n)_{n\geq 1}$ such that
- $\mu\left(E_n\right) \in \left[m, m + \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{b}_0\mathfrak{c}_1^{\delta_\mu}\rho^{n\delta_\mu}\right)$ and $E_n = \cup_{j\in J_n} Q^n_{\beta_j}$, where J_n is a finite subset of I_{-2^n-1},
- $d(z^{-2^n-1}_j, Q^n_{\beta_j}) < \rho^n$ and $d(Q^{-2^n-1}_j, F_n) > \mathfrak{c}_1\rho^{-2^n-1}$.

B- We fix $n \geq 1$.

(a) Let $(x,r) \in X \times \mathbb{R}_+^*$. Suppose that $\ell, j \in J_n$ with $B_{(x,r)} \cap Q^n_{\beta_j} \neq \emptyset \neq B_{(x,r)} \cap Q^n_{\beta_\ell}$.
There exists $x_1, x_2 \in Q^n_{\beta_j}$ and $y_1, y_2 \in Q^n_{\beta_\ell}$ such that $d(z_j^{-2^n-1}, x_1) < \rho^n$, $x_2 \in B_{(x,r)}$, $d(z_\ell^{-2^n-1}, y_1) < \rho^n$, $y_2 \in B_{(x,r)}$.
Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho^{-2^n-1} &\leq d\left(z_j^{-2^n-1}, z_\ell^{-2^n-1}\right) \leq \kappa\left[d\left(z_j^{-2^n-1}, x_1\right) + d\left(x_1, z_\ell^{-2^n-1}\right)\right] \\
&\leq \kappa\rho^n + 2\kappa^3\mathfrak{c}_1\rho^n + 2\kappa^4 r + \kappa^4\left[d(y_2,y_1) + d(y_1, z_\ell^{-2^n-1})\right] \\
&< \left(\kappa + 2\kappa^3\mathfrak{c}_1 + 2\kappa^5\mathfrak{c}_1 + \kappa^4\right)\rho^n + 2\kappa^4 r.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
r &> \frac{1}{2\kappa^4}\left[\rho^{-2^n-1} - \left(\kappa + 2\kappa^3\mathfrak{c}_1 + 2\kappa^5\mathfrak{c}_1\right)\rho^n\right] \\
&= \frac{\rho^n}{2\kappa^4}\left[\rho^{-2^n-1-n} - \left(\kappa + 2\kappa^3\mathfrak{c}_1 + 2\kappa^5\mathfrak{c}_1\right)\right].
\end{aligned}$$

(b) In the sequel we assume that n is sufficiently great such that

$$\mathfrak{c}_1\rho^n < 1 \leq \frac{\rho^n}{2\kappa^4}\left[\rho^{-2^n-1-n} - \left(\kappa + 2\kappa^3\mathfrak{c}_1 + 2\kappa^5\mathfrak{c}_1 + \kappa^4\right)\right] = r_n.$$

1^{rst} *case.* We suppose that $0 < r \leq \mathfrak{c}_1\rho^n$.
Then every ball $B_{(x,r)}$ meets at most one $Q^n_{\beta_j}$ $(j \in J_n)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_r\left\|\chi_{E_n}\right\|_{q,p,\alpha} &= \left[\int_X \left(\mu(B_{(x,r)})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \left\|\chi_{E_n \cap B_{(x,r)}}\right\|_q\right)^p d\mu(x)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&= \left[\sum_{j\in J_n}\int_{\left\{x\in X : B_{(x,r)}\cap Q^n_{\beta_j}\neq\emptyset\right\}} \left(\mu(B_{(x,r)})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\mu\left(E_n \cap B_{(x,r)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right)^p d\mu(x)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq \left[\sum_{j\in J_n}\mu\left(\left\{x \in X : B_{(x,r)} \cap Q^n_{\beta_j} \neq \emptyset\right\}\right) \sup_{B_{(x,r)}\cap Q^n_{\beta_j}\neq\emptyset} \mu(B_{(x,r)})^{\frac{p}{\alpha}-1}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq \left[\sum_{j\in J_n}\mu\left(B_{(z^n_{\beta_j}, \kappa(r+\mathfrak{c}_1\rho^n))}\right)\left(\mathfrak{b}\varphi(r)\right)^{\frac{p}{\alpha}-1}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq \left(\mathfrak{b}\varphi(r)\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{p}}\left[\sum_{j\in J_n} C_\mu\left(\frac{\kappa(r+\mathfrak{c}_1\rho^n)}{\mathfrak{c}_0\rho^n}\right)^{D_\mu}\mu(Q^n_{\beta_j})\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq C_\mu^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\frac{2\kappa\mathfrak{c}_1}{\mathfrak{c}_0}\right)^{\frac{D_\mu}{p}}\mu\left(E_n\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{b}_0\mathfrak{c}_1\rho^n\right)^{(\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{p})\delta_\mu}.
\end{aligned}$$

2^{nd} *case.* We suppose that $\mathfrak{c}_1\rho^n < r \leq r_n$.
Arguing as in the first case, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_r\left\|\chi_{E_n}\right\|_{q,p,\alpha} &\leq \left[\sum_{j\in J_n}\mu\left(\left\{x\in X : B_{(x,r)}\cap Q^n_{\beta_j}\neq\emptyset\right\}\right)\sup_{B_{(x,r)}\cap Q^n_{\beta_j}\neq\emptyset}\mu(B_{(x,r)})^{\frac{p}{\alpha}-\frac{p}{q}-1}\mu\left(B_{(x,r)}\cap Q^n_{\beta_j}\right)^{\frac{p}{q}}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\\
&\leq \left\{\sum_{j\in J_n}C_\mu\Big(\frac{\kappa(r+\mathfrak{c}_1\rho^n)}{r}\Big)^{D_\mu}\mathfrak{b}\varphi(r)\left(\mathfrak{a}\varphi(r)\right)^{\frac{p}{\alpha}-\frac{p}{q}-1}\mu\left(Q^n_{\beta_j}\right)\left[\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{b}_0\left(\mathfrak{c}_1\rho^n\right)^{\delta_\mu}\right]^{\frac{p}{q}-1}\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the second inequality we have used the doubling condition of μ, the relationship between μ and φ, the growth condition on φ and the inclusion $Q^n_{\beta_j}\subset B_{(z^n_{\beta_j},\mathfrak{c}_1\rho^n)}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
r\left\|\chi_{E_n}\right\|_{q,p,\alpha} &\leq C\varphi(r)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{q}}\mu\left(E_n\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\rho^n\right)^{\delta_\mu(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})}\\
&\leq C\mu\left(E_n\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\varphi\left(\rho^n\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\mathfrak{c}_1\rho^n\right)^{\delta_\mu(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})}\leq C\mu\left(E_n\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\mathfrak{c}_1\rho^n\right)^{\delta_\mu(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})+D_\mu(\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{q})}.
\end{aligned}$$

3^{rd} *case.* We suppose $r > r_n$.

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_r\left\|\chi_{E_n}\right\|_{q,p,\alpha} &\leq \left[\sum_{j\in J_n}\int_{\left\{x\in X:B_{(x,r)}\cap Q^n_{\beta_j}\neq\emptyset\right\}}\mu(B_{(x,r)})^{\frac{p}{\alpha}-\frac{p}{q}-1}\mu\left(E_n\cap B_{(x,r)}\right)^{\frac{p}{q}}d\mu(x)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\\
&\leq \left[\mu\left(E_n\right)^{\frac{p}{q}}\sum_{j\in J_n}\mu(B_{(z^n_{\beta_j},\kappa(r+\mathfrak{c}_1\rho^n))})(\mathfrak{a}\varphi(r))^{\frac{p}{\alpha}-\frac{p}{q}-1}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\\
&\leq \mu\left(E_n\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\mathfrak{a}\varphi(r)\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}}\left[\#(J_n)C_\mu(2\kappa)^{D_\mu}\varphi(r)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

But for all $j\in J_n$, $\mathfrak{a}_0\mathfrak{c}_0^{D_\mu}\rho^{nD_\mu}\leq\mu(Q^n_{\beta_j})\leq b_0\mathfrak{c}_1^{\delta_\mu}\rho^{n\delta_\mu}$. Thus

$$\#(J_n)\leq\frac{m}{\mathfrak{a}_0\mathfrak{c}_0^{D_\mu}\rho^{nD_\mu}},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_r\left\|\chi_{E_n}\right\|_{q,p,\alpha} &\leq C\mu\left(E_n\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\varphi(r)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{q}}\frac{1)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\rho^{nD_\mu/p}}\leq C\mu\left(E_n\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}r_n^{\delta(\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{q})}\rho^{-nD_\mu/p}\\
&\leq C\mu\left(E_n\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\rho^{-n}\right)^{\frac{D_\mu}{p}+\delta_\mu(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{\alpha})}\left[\rho^{-2^n-1-n}-(\kappa+2\kappa^3\mathfrak{c}_1+2\kappa^5\mathfrak{c}_1)\right]^{\delta_\mu(\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{q})}\\
&\leq C\mu\left(E_n\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\rho^{-n}\right)^{D_\mu(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})+\delta(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})}\frac{\left(\rho^{-n}\right)^{D_\mu(\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{p})+\delta_\mu(\frac{2}{q}-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\alpha})}}{\left(\rho^{-2^n-1-n}-(\kappa+2\kappa^3\mathfrak{c}_1+2\kappa^5\mathfrak{c}_1)\right)^{\delta_\mu(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{\alpha})}}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that if we choose n_0 such that for all $n\geq n_0$

$$\frac{\left(\rho^{-n}\right)^{D_\mu(\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{p})+\delta_\mu(\frac{2}{q}-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\alpha})}}{\left(\rho^{-2^n-1-n}-(\kappa+2\kappa^3\mathfrak{c}_1+2\kappa^5\mathfrak{c}_1)\right)^{\delta_\mu(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{\alpha})}}<1,$$

then

$$ {}_r\left\|\chi_{E_n}\right\|_{q,p,\alpha} \leq C\rho^{-n})^{D_\mu(\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{q})+\delta_\mu(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})}\mu\left(E_n\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad r>0. $$

That is

$$ \left\|\chi_{E_n}\right\|_{q,p,\alpha} \leq C\rho^{-n})^{D_\mu(\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{q})+\delta_\mu(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})} $$

and therefore

$$ \left\|\chi_{\cup_{n\geq n_0}E_n}\right\|_{q,p,\alpha} < \infty \text{ and } \left\|\chi_{\cup_{n\geq n_0}E_n}\right\|^{*}_{\alpha,\infty} = \infty. $$

Thus, $f=\chi_{\cup_{n>n_0}E_n}$ is in $(L^q,L^p)^\alpha(X)\setminus L^{\alpha,\infty}(X)$. □

References

[1] N. E. Aguilera and E. O. Harboure, *On the search for weighted norm inequalities for the Fourier transform.* Pacific J. Math., **104** (1983), 1-14.

[2] J. P. Bertrandias et C. Dupuis, *Transformation de Fourier sur les espaces* $\ell^p(L^{p'})$. Ann. Inst. Fourier, (1) **29** (1979), 189-206.

[3] R. C. Busby and H. A. Smith, *Product-convolution operators and mixed-norm spaces.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **263** (1981), 309-341.

[4] R. Coifman, G. Weiss, *Analyse Harmonique Non-commutative sur Certains Espaces Homogènes.* Lecture Notes in Math, Vol. 242. Berlin: Springer, 1971

[5] M. Christ, *A* $T(b)$ *theorem with remarks on analytic capacity and the Cauchy integral.* Colloq. Math **6061** (1990), 601-628.

[6] H. G. Feichtinger, *Wiener amalgams over Euclidean spaces and some of their applications.* Function Spaces (Edwardsville, IL, 1990), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. 136 Dekker New York (1992), 123-137.

[7] J. Feuto, I. Fofana and K. Koua, *Espaces de fonctions à moyenne fractionnaire intégrable sur les groupes localement compacts.* Afrika Mat. (3) **15** (2003), 73-91.

[8] J. Feuto, I. Fofana and K. Koua, *Weighted norms inequalities for a maximal operator in some subspace of amalgams.* to appear in Can. Math. Bull. (arxiv 09014197).

[9] I. Fofana, *Étude d'une classe d'espaces de fonctions contenant les espaces de Lorentz.* Afrika Mat. (2)**1** (1988), 29-50

[10] I. Fofana, *Continuité de l'intégrale fractionnaire et espace* $(L^q,\ell^p)^\alpha$. C.R.A.S Paris,(1) **308** (1989), 525-527.

[11] I. Fofana, *Transformation de Fourier dans* $(L^q,\ell^p)^\alpha$ *et* $M^{p,\alpha}$. Afrika Mat. (3) **5** (1995), 53-76.

[12] I. Fofana, *Espaces* $(L^q,\ell^p)^\alpha$ *et continuité de l'opérateur maximal fractionnaire de Hardy-Littelwood.* Afrika Mat. (3)**12** (2001), 23-37.

[13] G. B Folland and E. M. Stein , *Hardy spaces on Homogeneous groups*, Mathematical Notes 28, Princeton University Press. Princeton, New Jersey 1982.

[14] J. J. F. Fournier, *On the Hausdorff-Young theorem for amalgams.* Monatsh. Math. **95** (1983), 117-135.

[15] J. J. F. Fournier and J. Stewart, *Amalgams of* L^p *and* L^q. Bulletin of the AMS (13) **1** (1985), 1-21.

[16] J. Garcìa-Cuerva and J. L. Rubio de Francia, *Weighted Norm Inequalities and Related Topics.* Amsterdam: North-Holland Publishing Co., 1985.

[17] Y. Han, D. Müller and D. Yang, *A theory of Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin Spaces on Metric Measure Space Modeled on Carnot-Carathéodory Spaces.* Abstr Appl Anal, 2008, Art. ID 893409, 252 pp.

[18] F. Holland, *Harmonic Analysis on amalgams of L^p and ℓ^q*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **10** (1975), 295-305.

[19] A. Kpata, I. Fofana and K. Koua, *Necessary condition for measures which are (L^q, L^p) multipliers.* to appear in An. Math. Blaise Pascal (16) n° 2 (2009).

[20] K. S. Lau, *Fractal Measure and Mean p-variations.* Journ. Funct. Anal. **108** (1992), 427-457.

[21] R. Marcías and C. Segovia, *Lipschitz functions on spaces of homogeneous type*, Adv. in Math. 33, (1979), 257-270.

[22] D. Mascré, *Inégalités à poids pour l'opérateur de hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev dans les espaces métriques mesurés à deux demi-dimensions.* Coll. Math. (105) **1** (2006), 77-104.

[23] B. Muckenhoupt, *Weighted norm inequalities for classical operators.* Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **35** (1977), 69-83.

[24] B. Muckenhoupt and R. Wheeden, *Weighted norm inequalities for fractional integrals.* Trans. of the AMS, **192** (1974), 261-274.

[25] A. M. Oberlin, *Affine dimension: measuring the vestiges of curvature.* Michigam Math. J. 51, **1** (2003), 13-26.

[26] M. Rao and Z. Ren, *Theory of Orlicz spaces.* Dekker, New York, 1991.

[27] E. T. Sawyer and R. L. Wheeden, *Weighted inequalities for fractional integrals on euclidean and homogeneous spaces.* Amer. J. Math. **114** (1992), 813-874.

[28] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean spaces.* Princeton, New Jersey, Princeton University Press (1971).

[29] N. Th. Varopoulos, *Analysis on Lie groups.* J. Funct. Anal. **76** (1988), 346-410.

[30] R. L. Wheeden, *A characterization of some weighted norm inequalities for the fractional maximal function.* Studia Math. **107** (1993), 251-272.

[31] N. Wiener, *On the representation of functions by trigonometrical integrals.* Math. Z., **24** (1926), 575-616.

UFR DE MATHEMATIQUES ET INFORMATIQUE, Université de Cocody, 22BP 1194 Abidjan 22, République de Côte d'Ivoire
E-mail address: `justfeuto@yahoo.fr`

UFR DE MATHEMATIQUES ET INFORMATIQUE, Université de Cocody, 22BP582 Abidjan 22, République de Côte d'Ivoire
E-mail address: `fofana_ib_math_ab@yahoo.fr`

UFR DE MATHEMATIQUES ET INFORMATIQUE, Université de Cocody, 22BP582 Abidjan 22, République de Côte d'Ivoire
E-mail address: `kroubla@yahoo.fr`